

Artificial Ecosystem Project Rubric

Formatives

| Milestone 1: Choosing a plant for your ecosystem | | Total: (__/4) |
|--|--|----------------|
| Feedback | Criteria | Highlights |
| | Group members are listed for this project. Groups must have three members. (1 pt) | |
| | Two native riparian plants are identified to house in artificial ecosystem. (1 pt) | |
| | Evidence that <i>Plant 1</i> is native and riparian using iNaturalist. (1 pt) | |
| | Evidence that <i>Plant 2</i> is native and riparian using iNaturalist. (1 pt) | |

| Milestone 2: Designing your ecosystem | | Total: (__/3) |
|---------------------------------------|--|----------------|
| Feedback | Criteria | Highlights |
| | A two-dimensional schematic is provided depicting upper basin, lower basin, pump, tubing, media, plant, and fish. (1 pt) | |
| | Each of the parts in the schematic are labelled. (1 pt) | |
| | Plant(s) housed in ecosystem are listed. (1 pt) | |

| Milestone 3: Planning investigations | | Total: (__/4) |
|--------------------------------------|--|----------------|
| Feedback | Criteria | Highlights |
| | Two methods of measuring ecosystem health are identified. | |
| | Student explains how two methods of measurement can evaluate ecosystem health. (1 pt) | |
| | A procedure for conducting <i>Measurement 1</i> is described. (1 pt) | |
| | A procedure for conducting <i>Measurement 2</i> is described. (1 pt) | |
| | A data table including date, <i>Measurement 1</i> , and <i>Measurement 2</i> are provided. (1 pt) | |

| Milestone 4: Analyzing Data | | Total: (__/3) |
|-----------------------------|--|----------------|
| Feedback | Criteria | Highlights |
| | Student explicitly identifies a pattern from data. (1 pt) | |
| | Student builds a claim from evidence. (1 pt) | |
| | Student proposes an explanation for observed pattern supported by scientific understanding of factors affecting biodiversity and nutrient cycling. (1 pt) | |

Summative

| Category | N/A (0 pts) | Beginning (1 pt) | Approaching (2 pts) | Meeting (3 pts) |
|-------------|---|---|---|---|
| Abstract* | Abstract is missing all the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Background • Results • Methods | Abstract is missing two of the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Background • Results • Methods | Abstract is missing one of the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Background • Results • Methods | Abstract discusses background, results, and methods. |
| Methods* | Report does not include discussion of methods. | Methods are vague. Difficult to replicate experiment. | Methods are detailed enough to replicated with some assistance. | Methods are detailed. This experiment could be replicated easily. |
| Results* | Report does not explicitly describe results. | Results are described but are not explicitly presented. | Results are explicitly described but are incomplete. | Most important findings are completely and explicitly described. |
| Discussion* | Report does not discuss results. | Results are discussed but is missing two of the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Experimental error • Limitation of methods • Connection to scientific principles | Results are discussed but is missing one of the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Experimental error • Limitation of methods • Connection to scientific principles | Results are discussed thoroughly with mention of experimental error, limitation of methods, and connection to scientific principles |
| Language** | Language is informal or has numerous grammar and spelling errors. | Language is occasionally informal or has the occasional grammar and spelling error. | Language is mostly formal or has few grammar and spelling errors. | Language is formal, written in passive voice, and has no grammar spelling issues. |
| Claim** | Report does not present an accurate central claim. | Report presents an inaccurate central claim. | Report presents an accurate, but incomplete central claim. | Report presents an accurate and complete central claim. |
| Evidence** | Report does not explicitly identify evidence to support claim. | Report explicitly identifies inappropriate evidence or evidence that does not support the claim. | Report explicitly identifies appropriate, but insufficient evidence. May include some inappropriate evidence. | Report explicitly identifies appropriate and sufficient evidence to support central claim. |

| | | | | |
|------------------------|---|---|--|--|
| Reasoning** | Report does not provide reasoning that links the claim to the evidence. | Report does not provide reasoning is not appropriate. | Report provides reasoning that links claim to evidence. Evidence is repeated or scientific principles are cited, but not sufficient. | Report provides accurate and complete reasoning that links the evidence to the claim. Includes appropriate and sufficient scientific principles. |
| Total: (__/24) | | | | |

*Evidence collected within section.

**Evidence collected throughout report.

Adapted from:

McNeill, K.L. & Krajcik, J. (2008). Assessing middle school students' content knowledge and reasoning through written explanations. In *Assessing science learning: Perspectives from research and practice*, ed. J. Coffey, R. Douglas, and C. Stearns, 101-116. Arlington, VA: NSTA Press